

**Minutes of a meeting of Hanworth Parish Council held on
Wednesday 6th August 2025 in Hanworth Memorial Hall**

Present: Gill Wilton (Chair), Lindsay Bradford, Peter Low, Dee Holroyd (clerk). Two members of the public.

- 1. Apologies for absence:** Sally Martin
- 2. Co-option of a new member of Hanworth Parish Council:**
postponed until the November meeting.
- 3. Declarations of Interest:** none
- 4. Cllrs Saul Penfold and John Toye:** were not present
- 5. Minutes of the Meeting held on 7th May** were agreed and signed by the chairman. Proposed PL, seconded LB.
- 6. Matters arising:** drainage onto the Common in White Post Road. No response had been received to a letter to Christian Attew asking him to have a legal agreement drawn up, at his cost, so the Parish Council is protected against any claim should an accident or flooding occur. DH to send another letter.

8. Any other business: Planning: 21 The Common. RV/25/1472

Removal of existing flat-roof rear extension, and erection of replacement single-storey rear extension; dormer extension to rear and rooflights to front to facilitate conversion of loft to habitable space; without complying with conditions 2 (approved plans) & 3 (materials) of planning permission PF/24/1811 and to allow changes to the roof materials. The application to re-roof without complying with approved plans and materials was considered. The reasons given were the lack of waterproofing provided by the previous slate roof. The Council felt that especially due to its elevated posting, using a different style of roofing from that on the other half of this semi-detached building would impact on the visual character of the Common and the conservation area. With underlay, artificial slate tiles, laid in a diamond pattern, would be long lasting and waterproof. The Council agreed to put in a comment objecting to the proposal.

PF/25/1485 1 Stable Yard, Gunton Park: application of erect a wooden summer house with the garden. The Council looked at the plans and photographs of the proposed summer house and its site. It was agreed that the position was discreet and as long as the building could be removed if necessary at some future date, there was no objection from the Council.